Barclay et al U.S.S.N. 10/718,487 Page 6

REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the indication of allowable subject matter, i.e. that claims 5, 73 and 74 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Claims 1, 22, 52 and 71 have been amended, and claims 72-74 has been cancelled. No new mater has been added.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 22, 24, 52 and 62-71 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 over Brock et al. (US Patent 644408) in view of Sinta. The rejection is traversed.

While Applicants disagree with the rejection, it is believed the rejection has been obviated by the amendments made herein. The independent claims recite subject matter indicated to be allowable.

In view thereof, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are requested.

It is believed the application is in condition for immediate allowance, which action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter F. Corless (Reg. 33,860)

EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP

P.O. Box 55874

Boston, MA 02205

Telephone: 617 439 4444